

DGO 10.11 -SFPD Policy Working Group Recommendation and Discussion Tracking as of 5/19/2023

#	Working Group Recommendations	pg. number	Meeting Date	SFPD response	SFPD explanation	Open/Closed
R1	DPA stated that the Department is required to seek DPA input on the WG codes of conduct before working group activity began.		4/6/23	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	DGO 3.01.04 (G) does ask for the Chief to seek input from DPA before issuing a new WG Directive, however, the Chief's WG Directive was issued in 2020 and again in 2021, before DGO 3.01 was issued. When the Chief issues a new Directive, DPA's feedback will be sought and the Police Commission will review and adopt at a public hearing. The codes of conduct currently fall under SF Admin Code Sec 67.3 which is the city's passive meeting rules and is outside of the scope of DPA or SFPD authority.	closed
R2	A working group member suggested that the Executive Sponsor invite a panel of officers to the next meeting to discuss the current DGO, pain points, training issues and to discuss what they would like to see changed in the policy. The WG also asked for the Department to provide a demonstration of the BWC equipment.		4/6/23	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	The Department agreed and invited three officers (Central Station Officer Daniel TayLiong, Academy/Field Tactics Force Options Officer Patrick Woods, and Ingleside Sergeant Eric Lau) to attend the 4/20/23 meeting. The WG will invite the same officers to a future meeting as well. This suggestion will be folded into the format of all working groups moving forward.	closed
R3	A working group member would like to know why the Department chose the magnetized BWC mount option vs. the "V-mount"		4/20/23	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	The Executive Sponsor and/or facilitator will look into this and respond to the working group at a future meeting.	open
R4	A working group member would like to know if the Axon 3 has a different buffering and activation time than the Axon 2.		4/20/23	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO		open
R5	The working group discussed Department Bulletin (DB)23-045 during the officer Q&A. The officers find this confusing as the DB tells the officers that they "shall" activate under certain circumstances, but the circumstances listed are discretionary. The working group suggested to change the "shall" to "may" or "shall activate if..."		4/20/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R6	During the officer panel Q&A, officer stated that they would like policy updates to focus on the work they should do rather than the prohibitions and for DGOs to remain "general orders". The officers stated that the DGOs have become too specific by adding multiple decision points to the workflow and they believe it would be more beneficial if DGOs were general and clear.		4/20/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R7	A working group member suggested documenting every time a BWC is knocked off of an officer.		4/20/23	Administrative Question and Answer-not for inclusion in DGO	Officers do document this in the narrative of their report, however there is no coded checkbox in Crime Data Where house (CDW)	closed
R8	DPA provided recommended language for 10.11.01 PURPOSE: "The purpose of this General Order is to establish the policies and procedures governing the San Francisco Police Department's ("Department's") Body Worn Camera ("BWC") program.	p. 1	4/20/23	Recommendation requires further discussion	See R9 for PURPOSE statement. The working group has agreed to continue to work together to craft a new policy statement during the May 23rd meeting.	open
R9	DPA provided recommended language for 10.11.02 POLICY: "BWC is an effective tool the Department uses to demonstrate its commitment to transparency, to ensure the accountability of its members, increase the publics trust in officers, and protect its members from unjustified complaints of misconduct. The Department is also committee to using BWC because the footage is important evidence in criminal, civil and administrate investigations"	p. 1	5/4/23	Recommendation will be modified and included in the draft DGO	The working group modified the recommendation but applied it to sec 10.11.01 PURPOSE. The purpose statement proposed now reads as follows: "BWC is an effective tool the Department uses to demonstrate its commitment to transparency, to ensure the accountability of its members, increase the public's trust in officers, and protect its members from unjustified complaints of misconduct. The Department is also committed to using BWC because the footage is important in investigations, litigation, and for training purposes."	closed
R10	10.11.03 A. and C. Combine the definition of "On" and "Buffering". 10.11.05 Section G	p. 1 & 2	5/4/23	Recommendation has been included in draft DGO		closed
R11	Consider moving the following sentence listed in section 10.11.02 to section 10.11.05: "This order is not intended to describe every possible circumstance"		5/4/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open

DGO 10.11 -SFPD Policy Working Group Recommendation and Discussion Tracking as of 5/19/2023

#	Working Group Recommendations	pg. number	Meeting Date	SFPD response	SFPD explanation	Open/Closed
R12	WG Member suggests adding "BWC should not be used in lieu of a written report"		5/4/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R13	SEC 10.11.02 POLICY: WG member recommends the following language : "The Police Department shall activate the BWC consistent with this order."		5/4/23	Recommendation requires further discussion		open
R14						
R15						
R16						
R17						
R18						
R19						
R20						
R21						